



RANKING:*

Philadelphia Children's Health & Well-being


District 2 ranks 3rd among all districts. There are several assets, including school quality, to be leveraged to mitigate risks such as exposure to Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs).



District 2 has a higher average life expectancy and social mobility than the city average. There is a relatively low percentage of children living in the district. There are 2 Health Dept. health centers, 3 Federally Qualified Health Centers, 16 district schools, 1 community school, 6 selected Rebuild sites, and 49 parks contained within or bordering the district.



* Districts are ranked from 1 (best scoring) to 10 (worst scoring) †Non-hispanic








Philadelphia Children's Health & Well-being

RISK SCORE


Adults experiencing an Adverse Childhood Experience (ACE) is in the 75th percentile. Shooting incidents are in the 52nd percentile; family poverty is in the 44th percentile.



ASSET SCORE

School quality is high and there is access to parks and recreation. SNAP utilization and behavioral health utilization are lower, but may not be needed.





MITIGATING ASSETS	District 2 Score	Best Score (District #)	Con	nparison			
School Quality	69.3	82.3 (10)					
Park and Recreation Access	66.3	72.9 (5)					
Fresh Food Access	55.6	73.4 (1)					
SNAP Utilization	49.1	77.9 (7)				•	
Children's Behavioral Health Services Utilization	43.7	78.4 (7)					
			0	25	50	75	100

KEY TAKEAWAY

District 2 has significant assets to build upon, particularly school guality and access to parks and recreation. That said, risks remain in the district that should be addressed, including childhood exposure to trauma. Schools may be a particularly good setting to provide trauma-informed interventions.

SCATTERGOOD

THINK DO SUPPORT

WINTER 2019

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-Year Estimates, City of Philadelphia Crime Statistics, PHMC For full report, see scattergoodfoundation.org





RANKING: